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TEX & Co.

Usually process texts typed by authors.

But some texts may be extracted from a larger structure.

Example: ds.xml, a list of stories available as pulps and pocket books.

Very simple version of many actual examples.
Examples

Available at:

http://lifc.univ-fcomte.fr/home/~jmhufflen/texts/guit-2009/
Doing it in \LaTeX? \\

Theoretically possible, but very tedious in practice. \LaTeX: not suitable for neither handling data bases, nor functionalities related to programming: e.g., sorting. \\
Complicated markup, complicated definitions.
XML

Structured texts, like trees.

Data bases.
XSLT

Now widely used.

This operation is actually a transformation of some information.

The new version (2.0) allows character maps \( \Rightarrow \) \((\LaTeX)\)’s special characters processed more easily.

(Example.)
XSLT: the better choice?

No static checking except if you derive XML texts.

Balanced braces.

Balanced environments for LATEX:
\begin{something}... \end{something}
XSLT: the better choice? (con’d)

Such test would be difficult to implement about texts processed by ConTEXt:
\startsomething ... \stopsomething

(e.g., \starttext ... \stoptext)

Very partially done in nbst $\leftarrow$ latex mode.
XQuery

Less verbose.

Programming by templates, more than applicative programming.

(Example.)
XQuery (con’d)

Suitable for simple examples, but with the same drawbacks about static checking.

Many standard features in XSLT—e.g., character maps—are implementation-dependent in XQuery.
An ‘actual’ programming language

DSSSL was used for SGML texts, but might be suitable for XML texts, especially if many features are related to ‘pure’ programming.

TeX source texts are not directly specified, only constructs a DSSSL processor translates to TeX.

(Example.)
Generating xml-like texts

\[ \text{XML} \xrightarrow{\text{XSLT}} \text{XSL-FO} \]

(Example.)

\LaTeX\ users can easily learn \text{XSL-FO}, but it is another language.

\text{FO} processors are almost complete, but in progress.
LuaTEX

Tasks related to ‘pure’ programming are delegated to external functions written using Lua.

ConTEXt MkIV allows XML texts to be processed, but has not reached stable state yet;
it uses XPath-like expressions, but not identical to ‘pure’ XPath’s.
Point of view

Simple transformation $\implies$ XQuery.

More ambitious one $\implies$ XSLT.

Keep in touch with FO’s processors’ progress.

Scrutinise ConTEXt MKIV’s development, ask his team for more development.