

# A Direct Bibliography Style for $\text{\LaTeX}$

Jean-Michel Hufflen

## Abstract

We describe the `mlb-arstexnica` program, part of `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$` 's new version, and suitable for generating bibliographies for  $\text{\LaTeX}$  articles. First, we recall the notion of *direct* bibliography style related to `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$`  and mention the advantages of such a program. We show that our program provides additional services suitable for  $\text{\LaTeX}$ , compared to `BIB $\text{\TeX}$` 's bibliography style `arstexnica.bst`.

**Keywords** `BIB $\text{\TeX}$` , `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$` , `L $\text{\TeX}$` , `blatex` package, Unicode, interface with Scheme.

## Sommario

Si descrive il programma `mlb-arstexnica`, parte della nuova versione di `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$` ; esso è adatto per generare le bibliografie per gli articoli di  $\text{\LaTeX}$ . Si richiama la nozione di stile bibliografico *diretto* riferito a `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$`  e si sottolineano i vantaggi di questo programma. Si mostra che questo programma fornisce ulteriori funzionalità adatte ad  $\text{\LaTeX}$  in confronto a quanto si può ottenere con lo stile bibliografico `arstexnica.bst` da usare con `BIB $\text{\TeX}$` .

**Parole chiave** `BIB $\text{\TeX}$` , `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$` , `L $\text{\TeX}$` , `blatex`, Unicode, interfaccia con Scheme.

## 1 Introduction

In some past `QJIT` conferences we have already introduced `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$` <sup>1</sup>, our implementation of a ‘better’ `BIB $\text{\TeX}$`  (PATASHNIK, 1988b), the bibliography processor usually associated with `L $\text{\TeX}$` . Let us recall that a *bibliography processor* builds ‘References’ section—as source texts—from *citation keys* and *bibliography database* files. See MITTELBACH and GOOSSENS (2004, §§ 12.1.3 & 13.2) about `L $\text{\TeX}$`  citation keys, extracted from *auxiliary* (`.aux`) files, and `BIB $\text{\TeX}$` 's format of database (`.bib`) files. The `BIB $\text{\TeX}$`  program is ageing, its bibliography styles are specified using an old-fashioned language based on handling a stack (PATASHNIK, 1988a). As mentioned in MITTELBACH and GOOSSENS (2004, § 13.6.3), introducing small changes within an existing style is quite easy, but designing new styles from scratch may be tedious. In addition, it hardly meets modern requirements such as dealing with formats extending the basic ASCII<sup>2</sup> code, in particular, for-

1. MultiLingual `BIB $\text{\TeX}$` .  
2. American Standard Code for Information Interchange.

mats related to Unicode (e.g., UTF-8<sup>3</sup>). Accented letters can be processed using `\TeX` commands, but accent commands are ignored by `BIB $\text{\TeX}$` 's sort procedure, so the lexical order provided by this program is only meaningful in English.

Nowadays more and more users typeset bibliographies for `L $\text{\TeX}$`  documents with the `blatex` package (LEHMAN, 2018), associated with the `biber` bibliography processor (KIME and CHARETTE, 2018). These two tools<sup>4</sup> allow end-users to get access to many interesting extensions: for example, the fields `YEAR`, `MONTH` and `DAY`<sup>5</sup> can be replaced by the `DATE` field, also usable for date *ranges*, e.g., `2019-08-31/2019-09-06`. However the drawback of such extensions appears if users revert to ‘old’ `BIB $\text{\TeX}$` , since its standard styles do not recognise these extensions<sup>6</sup>. Sometimes, users have to do that, for example, if they put research articles onto some Web sites controlling the process of publishing in conference proceedings<sup>7</sup>. As another example, the bibliography style `arstexnica.bst`, used for the articles of the homonymous journal, is unable to deal with the extensions introduced by the `blatex` package.

One year ago, we studied this bibliography style in order to fix a bug and thought that reimplementing it as a *direct style* of `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$`  could be useful for the  $\text{\LaTeX}$  board. In Section 2, we recall some general points about `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$` , in particular the notion of direct style. Section 3 is a short comparison between `BIB $\text{\TeX}$`  and `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$` . The look of our proposed command is described in Section 4. Reading this article only requires basic knowledge of `L $\text{\TeX}$`  and `BIB $\text{\TeX}$` .

## 2 `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$` 's Outlines

When we started `MLBIB $\text{\TeX}$` 's development, we were mainly interested in multilingual aspects (HUFFLEN, 2005). Then we proposed some syntactical extensions in order to ease the specification of authors' and editors' names (HUFFLEN, 2006), we went thoroughly into some points re-

3. Unicode Transformation Format.

4. There are some descriptions of these tools in Italian: PANTIERI (2009) for an introduction and VALBUSA (2014) about advanced features.

5. This last field does not belong to `BIB $\text{\TeX}$`  standard, even if some styles use it.

6. For example, the `YEAR` field is required if you use ‘old’ `BIB $\text{\TeX}$`  and would like your bibliographies to be sorted; it cannot be replaced by the `DATE` field.

7. The most famous site for Computer Science conferences is indisputably <http://www.easychair.org>.

```

%encoding = utf8
@BOOK{cussler2010,
  AUTHOR = {Clive Eric Cussler,
            abbr => Cl. with
            first => Jack,
            last => Du Brul},
  TITLE = {The Silent Sea},
  PUBLISHER = {Penguin Books},
  YEAR = 2010,
  LANGUAGE = english}
@BOOK{deturris1991,
  AUTHOR = {first => Gianfranco,
            last => De Turris},
  TITLE = {Il disagio della realtà},
  PUBLISHER = {Edizioni Settimo Sigillo},
  ADDRESS = {Roma},
  YEAR = 1991,
  LANGUAGE = italian}

```

FIGURE 1: Some syntactical extensions of MIBIB<sub>TEX</sub>.

lated to programming, e.g., the definition of chaining ambitious language-dependent order relations (HUFFLEN, 2007) and enlarged expressive power by introducing *inexact* information about ancient documents (HUFFLEN, 2014). Since the first public version (HUFFLEN, 2003), MIBIB<sub>TEX</sub>—written in Scheme—has been able to apply BIB<sub>TEX</sub> bibliography styles or styles written using an extension of XSLT<sup>8</sup> (W3C, 1999), the language used for transformations of XML<sup>9</sup> texts<sup>10</sup>. Then some existing styles have been wholly rewritten in Scheme, some new ones have been wholly designed in Scheme, too. Such styles—which are very efficient—are so-called *direct* with respect to MIBIB<sub>TEX</sub>'s terminology.

A new version, announced in HUFFLEN (2015), deals with Unicode and allows .bib files to use various encodings. If *several* .bib files are to be searched for document citation keys, *each* .bib file can use its own encoding. The program tries to guess the encoding used within such a file, but it is recommended to write this information down as we do in Fig. 1. The default encoding for input and output files is Latin 1, but can be changed within your initialisation files by means of the interface with Scheme. Fig. 1 shows some syntactical extensions provided by MIBIB<sub>TEX</sub>.

Last but not least, let us recall that when MIBIB<sub>TEX</sub> processes an .aux file, it also reads the preamble of the corresponding source .tex document<sup>11</sup>. What is important for our purposes is that MIBIB<sub>TEX</sub> can detect the inputenc package option (MITTELBACH and GOOSSENS, 2004, § 7.1.2), that

8. eXtensible Stylesheet Language Transformations.

9. eXtensible Markup Language.

10. Parsing .bib files results in Scheme structures that may be viewed as XML trees, using an open format.

11. On the contrary, 'old' BIB<sub>TEX</sub> *never* reads .tex files, it only processes .aux files.

is, the encoding to be used for the output file containing generated references.

### 3 MIBIB<sub>TEX</sub> vs Bib<sub>TEX</sub>

If we consider some standard uses of bibliographical entries, the main difference between MIBIB<sub>TEX</sub> and BIB<sub>TEX</sub> is that the former is less permissive than the latter. Since its first version, MIBIB<sub>TEX</sub> has performed more checks than 'old' BIB<sub>TEX</sub>, and designing direct styles in Scheme allowed us to go on in this direction. For example, all the fields associated with a date must be well-formed: the YEAR field must be a non-zero integer<sup>12</sup>, the MONTH field must be a mnemonic among *jan, feb, . . . , dec*. Likewise, the taxonomy of the values associated with the DATE field is checked. Some conventions about dates may appear as too drastic, but they insure that our chronological sort procedures work properly. Here are the other fields subject to a more advanced check than in BIB<sub>TEX</sub> and usable in ArsTeXnica style:

- for *person names*, e.g., AUTHOR and EDITOR;
- for language names: LANGUAGE;
- for URLs<sup>13</sup>.

When a field name is unrecognised, a warning message is emitted: often this convention allows end-users to fix typing mistakes in practice. Here are the additional conventions when fields introduced by the biblatex package are used within bibliographical entries of .bib files but unrecognised within 'standard' bibliography styles:

- if the DATE field is used:
  - if it is associated with a single date, it is expanded using the fields YEAR, MONTH and DAY,
  - if it is associated with a range, the second date (the range's upper bound) is dropped out and the previous rules applies;
- to sort bibliographies, the fields SORTYEAR and SORTTITLE—when given—are used instead of YEAR and TITLE.

### 4 The mib-arstexnica Program

There are two ways to process ArsTeXnica bibliographies with MIBIB<sub>TEX</sub>:

- run the `mibibtex` executable program and use the bibliography style `arstexnica.bst`;
- run the direct style `mib-arstexnica`.

12. . . . unless the *-inexact* option is used, in which case some digits may be replaced by '?'. See HUFFLEN (2014) for more details.

13. Uniform Resource Locator.

The first way is still based on the `.bst` file, which may be viewed as more readable than a Scheme program. The second way results in a more efficient process and may get access to some operations unreachable by a `.bst` program: for example, using advanced or language-dependent order relations to sort bibliographies. The `mlb-arstexnica` executable file is added to the programs announced in HUFFLEN (2015, § 4). Its command line is:

```
mlb-arstexnica [option]* filename
```

`filename` being an `.aux` file; you can put the suffix or leave it implicit. Possible options are:

`-h` or `-help` displays help messages and exits;

`-inexact` allows *inexact* information to be accepted and processed: see Footnote 12, p. 2 and HUFFLEN (2014);

`-min-crossrefs=n` has the same effect than in `BIBTEX`: entries accessed at least `n` times (`n` is a natural number) by means of a `CROSSREF` field are put; see MITTELBACH and GOOSSENS (2004, § 13.2.5) for more details;

`-tex-file=...` allows end-users to make precise the source `LATEX` file associated with the `.aux` file, when it cannot be easily deduced<sup>14</sup>.

At the time of writing, `MIBIBTEX` and its derived programs can run on Linux and Mac OS X; they should be able to run on Windows. We are in contact with the CTAN<sup>15</sup> in order to put our files onto this site. As most files available within a `TEX` distribution, our source files are subject to the LPPL<sup>16</sup>.

## 5 Conclusion

We think that our `mlb-arstexnica` program can provide many additional services compared to the present style of `BIBTEX`. We hope that end-users will play with it with as much pleasure as ours developing it.

## Acknowledgements

I thank Claudio Beccari for his patience, and for his Italian translations of the abstract and keywords.

## References

HUFFLEN, Jean-Michel (2003). «MIBIBTEX's version 1.3». *TUGboat*, **24** (2), pp. 249–262.

— (2005). «MIBIBTEX: a survey». In *Proc. GUIT Meeting*. Pisa, Italy, pp. 171–179.

14. Let us recall that `MIBIBTEX` reads the source `LATEX` file's preamble (cf. § 2).

15. Comprehensive `TEX` Archive Network.

16. `LATEX` Project Public License. For more details, see <https://www.latex-project.org/lppl.txt>.

— (2006). «Names in `BIBTEX` and `MIBIBTEX`». *TUGboat*, **27** (2), pp. 243–253. TUG 2006 proceedings, Marrakesh, Morocco.

— (2007). «Managing order relations in `MIBIBTEX`». *TUGboat*, **29** (1), pp. 101–108. EuroBach`TEX` 2007 proceedings.

— (2014). «Dealing with ancient works in bibliographies». *ArsTeXnica*, **18**, pp. 81–86. In Proc. GUIT meeting 2014.

— (2015). «MIBIBTEX 1.4: the new version». *ArsTeXnica*, **20**, pp. 35–39. In Proc. GUIT meeting 2015.

KIME, Philip and François CHARETTE (2018). *biber. A Backend Bibliography Processor for biblatex. Version biber 2.12 (biblatex 3.12)*. <http://ctan.org/pkg/biber>.

LEHMAN, Philipp, with Philip KIME, Moritz WEMHEUER, Audrey BORUVKA and Joseph WRIGHT (2018). *The biblatex Package. Programmable Bibliographies and Citations. Version 3.12*. <http://ctan.org/pkg/biblatex>.

MITTELBACH, Frank and Michel GOOSSENS, with Johannes BRAAMS, David CARLISLE, Chris A. ROWLEY, Christine DETIG e Joachim SCHROD (2004). *The L<sup>A</sup>TEX Companion*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 2<sup>a</sup> edizione.

PANTIERI, Lorenzo (2009). «L'arte di gestire la bibliographia con biblatex». *ArsTeXnica*, **8**, pp. 48–60.

PATASHNIK, Oren (1988a). *Designing BIBTEX Styles*. Part of the `BIBTEX` distribution.

— (1988b). *BIBTEXing*. Part of the `BIBTEX` distribution.

VALBUSA, Ivan (2014). «Funzionalità avanzate del sistema biblatex/biber». *ArsTeXnica*, **18**, pp. 70–80.

W3C (1999). *XSL Transformations (XSLT). Version 1.0*. <http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xslt-19991116>. W3C Recommendation. Edited by James Clark.

▷ Jean-Michel Hufflen  
FEMTO-ST (UMR CNRS 6174) &  
University of Bourgogne Franche-  
Comté,  
16, route de Gray,  
25030 BESANÇON CEDEX  
FRANCE  
`jnhufflen at femto-st dot fr`