

# MI $\text{BibT}_E\text{X}$ 1.4: the New Version

Jean-Michel HUFFLEN  
DISC — University of Franche-Comté  
Trento, 17th October 2015

# Contents

Bibliographies presently

MIBIB $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  experience

The new version

Customisation

Commands

Conclusion

# Bibliographies presently

BIB<sub>T</sub>E<sub>X</sub> is ageing. . .

# Bibliographies presently

BIB<sub>T</sub>E<sub>X</sub> is ageing. . .

biber usable with the biblatex package,

# Bibliographies presently

BIB<sub>T</sub>E<sub>X</sub> is ageing. . .

biber usable with the biblatex package,

interesting extensions, e.g., the DATE field:

```
DATE = {2015-10-17/2015-10-18}
```

# Beyond BibT<sub>E</sub>X

What happens if an end-user of bibl<sub>at</sub>ex has to revert to BIBT<sub>E</sub>X?

# Beyond BibT<sub>E</sub>X

What happens if an end-user of bibl<sub>at</sub>ex has to revert to BIBT<sub>E</sub>X?

What about ConT<sub>E</sub>Xt?

# Beyond BibT<sub>E</sub>X

What happens if an end-user of bibl<sub>at</sub>ex has to revert to BIBT<sub>E</sub>X?

What about ConT<sub>E</sub>Xt?

After BIBT<sub>E</sub>X  $\Leftarrow$  incompatible extensions.

# MIbibt<sub>E</sub>X

Reimplementation of BIBT<sub>E</sub>X using the Scheme programming language,

# MIbibt<sub>E</sub>X

Reimplementation of BIBT<sub>E</sub>X using the Scheme programming language,  
with particular focus on multilingual features, provides some syntactical  
extensions,

# MI $\text{BibT}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$

Reimplementation of  $\text{BIBT}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  using the Scheme programming language,  
with particular focus on multilingual features, provides some syntactical  
extensions,  
based on an XML-like format for bibliographical items,

# MI $\text{BibT}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$

Reimplementation of  $\text{BIBT}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  using the Scheme programming language,  
with particular focus on multilingual features, provides some syntactical  
extensions,  
based on an XML-like format for bibliographical items,  
includes support for  $\text{ConT}_{\text{E}}\text{Xt}$  and  $\text{biblatex}$ ,

# MIBibT<sub>E</sub>X

Reimplementation of BIBT<sub>E</sub>X using the Scheme programming language,  
with particular focus on multilingual features, provides some syntactical  
extensions,  
based on an XML-like format for bibliographical items,  
includes support for ConT<sub>E</sub>Xt and biblatex,  
and other applications.

# MIBibT<sub>E</sub>X

Reimplementation of BIBT<sub>E</sub>X using the Scheme programming language,  
with particular focus on multilingual features, provides some syntactical  
extensions,  
based on an XML-like format for bibliographical items,  
includes support for ConT<sub>E</sub>Xt and biblatex,  
and other applications.  
All the programs may take advantage of an extension (e.g., DATE).

# Feedback

Not used widely, but users are satisfied, as far as I know.

# Feedback

Not used widely, but users are satisfied, as far as I know.

Less permissive than BIB<sub>T</sub>E<sub>X</sub>. For example, a YEAR field *must* be an integer,

# Feedback

Not used widely, but users are satisfied, as far as I know.

Less permissive than BIB<sub>T</sub>E<sub>X</sub>. For example, a YEAR field *must* be an integer, possibly negative,

# Feedback

Not used widely, but users are satisfied, as far as I know.

Less permissive than  $\text{BIBTEX}$ . For example, a `YEAR` field *must* be an integer, possibly negative, possibly *inexact* (cf. GUIT 2014).

# Why a new version?

Even if MIBIB $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  1.3 is based on a canonical representation of all accented letters, it only deals with Latin 1 encoding:

# Why a new version?

Even if MIBIB $\TeX$  1.3 is based on a canonical representation of all accented letters, it only deals with Latin 1 encoding:

Łódź  $\implies$  `{\L}ó\.{z}`

# Why a new version?

Even if MIBIB $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  1.3 is based on a canonical representation of all accented letters, it only deals with Latin 1 encoding:

$$\text{Łódź} \implies \{\backslash\text{L}\}\acute{o}\backslash.\{\text{z}\}$$

whereas modern  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  engines—e.g., Con $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ t—are based on UTF-8.

# Why a new version?

Even if MIBIB $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  1.3 is based on a canonical representation of all accented letters, it only deals with Latin 1 encoding:

$$\text{Łódź} \implies \{\backslash\text{L}\}\acute{o}\backslash.\{\text{z}\}$$

whereas modern  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  engines—e.g., Con $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ t—are based on UTF-8.

Now, Scheme's new standard is Unicode-compliant, so MIBIB $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  can be, too.

# Why a new version?

Even if MIBIB $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  1.3 is based on a canonical representation of all accented letters, it only deals with Latin 1 encoding:

$$\text{łódź} \implies \{\backslash\text{L}\}\acute{o}\backslash.\{z\}$$

whereas modern  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  engines—e.g., Con $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ t—are based on UTF-8.

Now, Scheme's new standard is Unicode-compliant, so MIBIB $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  can be, too.

The interface with Scheme should be more customisable.

# MI~~B~~ibT~~E~~X 1.4 and encodings

First release  $\Leftarrow$  byte-based encodings will be processed: Latin-1, Latin-2, UTF-8. . .

# MI~~B~~ibT~~E~~X 1.4 and encodings

First release  $\Leftarrow$  byte-based encodings will be processed: Latin-1, Latin-2, UTF-8. . . but not UTF-16.

# MIBibT<sub>E</sub>X 1.4 and encodings

First release  $\Leftarrow$  byte-based encodings will be processed: Latin-1, Latin-2, UTF-8. . . but not UTF-16.

You can make precise the encoding at the beginning of a .bib file:

```
%encoding = latin-1
```

# MIBibT<sub>E</sub>X 1.4 and encodings

First release  $\Leftarrow$  byte-based encodings will be processed: Latin-1, Latin-2, UTF-8. . . but not UTF-16.

You can make precise the encoding at the beginning of a .bib file:

```
%encoding = latin-1
```

(Another directive, `%prefix`, allows name clashes to be avoided.)

# Bibliography database files

.bib files, but also XML files.

# Bibliography database files

.bib files, but also XML files.

JSON and Refer formats  $\Leftarrow$  planned.

# Rules for names

Accented letters will be allowed only in values associated with fields.

# Rules for names

Accented letters will be allowed only in values associated with fields.

Field names (AUTHOR, . . . ) and entry types (@ARTICLE, . . . )  $\implies$  the same rule holds.

# Initialisation file

```
((encodings-pv 'set-default-4-bib-files)  
  'utf-8)
```

(or accept the predefined default  $\implies$  latin-1).

# Initialisation file

```
((encodings-pv 'set-default-4-bib-files)  
 'utf-8)
```

(or accept the predefined default  $\implies$  latin-1).

```
mlbibtex  $\longleftarrow$  ~/.mlbibtex
```

# Initialisation file

```
((encodings-pv 'set-default-4-bib-files)  
 'utf-8)
```

(or accept the predefined default  $\implies$  latin-1).

```
mlbibtex ← ~/.mlbibtex  
mlbibcontext ← ~/.mlbibcontext  
....
```

# Revision of MLBibT<sub>E</sub>X's commands

-encoding for the programs `mlbibtex` and `mlbibtex2xml`.

# Revision of MLBibT<sub>E</sub>X's commands

-encoding for the programs `mlbibtex` and `mlbibtex2xml`.

New argument for the program `mlbiblatex`.

# Revision of MLBibT<sub>E</sub>X's commands

-encoding for the programs mlbibtex and mlbibtex2xml.

New argument for the program mlbiblatex.

No change for the program mlbibcontext, but the output defaults to the UTF-8 encoding.

# Revision of MLBibT<sub>E</sub>X's commands

-encoding for the programs mlbibtex and mlbibtex2xml.

New argument for the program mlbiblatex.

No change for the program mlbibcontext, but the output defaults to the UTF-8 encoding.

The other options are still recognised, e.g., the -inexact option (cf. GUIT 2014).

# What is to be done

Order relations.

# What is to be done

Order relations.

Output routine, e.g.:

Łódź  $\implies$  Łódź (UTF-8)  
 $\implies$  {\L}ó\ . {z} (Latin-1)

# What is to be done

Order relations.

Output routine, e.g.:

Łódź  $\implies$  Łódź (UTF-8)  
 $\implies$  {\L}ó\ . {z} (Latin-1)

Parser.

Now. . . today

'Semantic' functions—including order relations—at the end of debugging.

# Now. . . today

'Semantic' functions—including order relations—at the end of debugging.

Output routine  $\Leftarrow$  in test.

# Now . . . today

'Semantic' functions—including order relations—at the end of debugging.

Output routine  $\Leftarrow$  in test.

What is missing  $\Rightarrow$  parser and interface.

# Now . . . today

'Semantic' functions—including order relations—at the end of debugging.

Output routine  $\Leftarrow$  in test.

What is missing  $\Rightarrow$  parser and interface.

Installation procedure  $\Rightarrow$  in refurbishment.

# Conclusion

I have already reworked and extended MIBIB<sub>E</sub>TEX, . . .  
and succeeded. I am confident.

See you soon for 1.4's first demonstration!